

Oak Ridge Reservation

DOE/ORO-2473

Annual Site Environmental Report



2013

Oak Ridge Reservation

Annual Site Environmental Report 2013

Cover Image & Design
Creative Media
Communications

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Oak Ridge Reservation Annual Site Environmental Report for 2013

on the World Wide Web
http://www.ornl.gov/sci/env_rpt/

Project director
Joan Hughes

Project coordinator
Sharon Thompson

Department of Energy project manager and Oak Ridge Office coordinator
Katatra Vasquez

Technical coordinators

Wayne McMahon
Y-12 National Security Complex

Joan Hughes
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Mike Coffey
East Tennessee Technology Park

Electronic publisher
Kathy Jones

Editors
VJ Ewing, Wendy Hames

Graphic artists
Terry Bonine, Cindy Johnson

September 2014

Prepared by
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-2008
Managed by UT-Battelle, LLC,
for the Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725
and by
the Y-12 National Security Complex
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8169
Managed by B&W Technical Services Y-12 L.L.C.
for the Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22800
and by
URS | CH2M Oak Ridge LLC
Managing and Safely Delivering the Department of Energy's Vision
for the East Tennessee Technology Park Mission
under Contract DE-SC-0004645

Contents

	Page
Figures	ix
Tables	xv
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xix
Units of Measure and Conversion Factors	xxvii
Acknowledgments	xxix
Executive Summary	xxxix
1. Introduction to the Oak Ridge Reservation	1-1
1.1 Background	1-1
1.2 History of the Oak Ridge Reservation	1-2
1.3 Site Description	1-2
1.3.1 Location and Population	1-2
1.3.2 Climate	1-4
1.3.3 Regional Air Quality	1-4
1.3.4 Surface Water	1-4
1.3.5 Geological Setting	1-5
1.3.6 Natural, Cultural, and Historic Resources	1-6
1.4 Oak Ridge Sites	1-13
1.4.1 Oak Ridge National Laboratory	1-13
1.4.2 The Y-12 National Security Complex	1-14
1.4.3 East Tennessee Technology Park	1-15
1.4.4 Environmental Management Waste Management Facility	1-16
1.4.5 Oak Ridge Environmental Research Park	1-16
1.4.6 Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education	1-17
1.4.7 The National Nuclear Security Administration Office of Secure Transportation, Agent Operations Eastern Command	1-17
1.5 References	1-18
2. Compliance Summary and Community Involvement	2-1
2.1 Laws and Regulations	2-1
2.2 External Oversight and Assessments	2-1
2.3 Reporting of Oak Ridge Reservation Spills and Releases	2-7
2.4 Notices of Violations and Penalties	2-8
2.5 Community Involvement	2-8
2.5.1 Public Comments Solicited	2-8
2.5.2 Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board	2-8
2.5.3 DOE Information Center	2-8
2.6 References	2-9
3. East Tennessee Technology Park	3-1
3.1 Description of Site and Operations	3-1
3.2 Environmental Management System	3-4

3.2.1	Environmental Stewardship Scorecard.....	3-4
3.2.2	Environmental Compliance	3-6
3.2.3	Environmental Aspects/Impacts	3-6
3.2.4	Environmental Performance Objectives and Targets	3-6
3.2.5	Implementation and Operations.....	3-7
3.2.6	Pollution Prevention/Waste Minimization	3-7
3.2.7	Competence, Training, and Awareness	3-8
3.2.8	Communication	3-8
3.2.9	Benefits and Successes of Environmental Management System Implementation.....	3-8
3.2.10	Management Review	3-8
3.3	Compliance Programs and Status	3-8
3.3.1	Environmental Permits	3-9
3.3.2	Notices of Violations and Penalties.....	3-9
3.3.3	Audits and Oversight	3-9
3.3.4	National Environmental Policy Act/National Historic Preservation Act	3-12
3.3.5	Clean Air Act Compliance Status.....	3-13
3.3.6	Clean Water Act Compliance Status	3-13
3.3.7	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Noncompliances	3-14
3.3.8	Safe Drinking Water Act Compliance Status	3-14
3.3.9	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Compliance Status	3-14
3.3.10	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Underground Storage Tanks	3-14
3.3.11	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Compliance Status.....	3-14
3.3.12	East Tennessee Technology Park RCRA-CERCLA Coordination	3-14
3.3.13	Toxic Substances Control Act Compliance Status—Polychlorinated Biphenyls.....	3-15
3.3.14	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act Compliance Status	3-15
3.4	Quality Assurance Program.....	3-16
3.4.1	Integrated Assessment and Oversight Program.....	3-16
3.5	Air Quality Program	3-16
3.5.1	Construction and Operating Permits.....	3-16
3.5.2	Ambient Air.....	3-22
3.6	Water Quality Program.....	3-28
3.6.1	NPDES Permit Description—New NPDES Permit.....	3-28
3.6.2	East Tennessee Technology Park Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program	3-28
3.6.3	Sampling for NPDES Permit Renewal Application	3-28
3.6.4	Radiological Monitoring of Storm Water Discharges	3-29
3.6.5	Monitoring of Storm Water Runoff from East Tennessee Technology Park Decontamination and Decommissioning/Remedial Action Activities.....	3-30
3.6.6	Investigation of Mercury at East Tennessee Technology Park.....	3-41
3.6.7	NPDES Monitoring at the Central Neutralization Facility Wastewater Treatment System.....	3-54
3.6.8	Surface Water Monitoring.....	3-56
3.6.9	Groundwater Monitoring.....	3-61
3.7	Biological Monitoring	3-70
3.8	Environmental Management and Waste Management Activities.....	3-82

3.8.1	Waste Management Activities.....	3-82
3.8.2	Environmental Restoration Activities.....	3-84
3.8.3	Reindustrialization.....	3-87
3.8.4	Biosolids Program.....	3-88
3.9	References.....	3-93
4.	The Y-12 National Security Complex.....	4-1
4.1	Description of Site and Operations.....	4-1
4.1.1	Mission.....	4-1
4.1.2	Transformation.....	4-2
4.2	Environmental Management System.....	4-3
4.2.1	Integration with Integrated Safety Management System.....	4-3
4.2.2	Policy.....	4-3
4.2.3	Planning.....	4-4
4.2.4	Implementation and Operation.....	4-6
4.2.5	Checking.....	4-8
4.2.6	Performance.....	4-8
4.2.7	Awards and Recognition.....	4-22
4.3	Compliance Status.....	4-23
4.3.1	Environmental Permits.....	4-23
4.3.2	National Environmental Policy Act/National Historic Preservation Act.....	4-23
4.3.3	Clean Air Act Compliance Status.....	4-26
4.3.4	Clean Water Act Compliance Status.....	4-26
4.3.5	Safe Drinking Water Act Compliance Status.....	4-27
4.3.6	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Compliance Status.....	4-27
4.3.7	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act—Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Coordination.....	4-29
4.3.8	Toxic Substances Control Act Compliance Status.....	4-31
4.3.9	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act Compliance Status.....	4-31
4.3.10	Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures.....	4-32
4.3.11	Unplanned Releases.....	4-33
4.3.12	Audits and Oversight.....	4-33
4.3.13	Radiological Release of Property.....	4-34
4.4	Air Quality Program.....	4-37
4.4.1	Construction and Operating Permits.....	4-37
4.4.2	Ambient Air.....	4-43
4.5	Water Quality Program.....	4-48
4.5.1	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit and Compliance Monitoring.....	4-48
4.5.2	Mercury Removal from Storm Drain Catch Basins.....	4-52
4.5.3	Radiological Monitoring Plan and Results.....	4-52
4.5.4	Storm Water Pollution Prevention.....	4-55
4.5.5	Flow Management (or Raw Water).....	4-56
4.5.6	Y-12 Complex Ambient Surface Water Quality.....	4-57
4.5.7	Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permit.....	4-58
4.5.8	Quality Assurance/Quality Control.....	4-59
4.5.9	Biomonitoring Program.....	4-60
4.5.10	Biological Monitoring and Abatement Programs.....	4-60
4.6	Groundwater at the Y-12 Complex.....	4-66
4.6.1	Hydrogeologic Setting.....	4-67
4.6.2	Well Installation and Plugging and Abandonment Activities.....	4-69

4.6.3	Calendar Year 2013 Groundwater Monitoring.....	4-69
4.6.4	Y-12 Complex Groundwater Quality	4-72
4.6.5	Quality Assurance.....	4-90
4.7	Quality Assurance Program.....	4-90
4.8	Environmental Management and Waste Management Activities.....	4-92
4.8.1	Mercury Remediation Strategy for Y-12, East Fork Poplar Creek.....	4-92
4.8.2	Mercury Reduction Project Work Continues.....	4-92
4.8.3	Waste Management	4-94
4.8.4	Wastewater Treatment.....	4-94
4.9	References	4-94
5.	Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	5-1
5.1	Description of Site, Mission, and Operations.....	5-1
5.2	Environmental Management Systems	5-4
5.2.1	UT-Battelle Environmental Management System.....	5-4
5.2.2	Environmental Management System for the Transuranic Waste Processing Center	5-17
5.3	Compliance Programs and Status.....	5-18
5.3.1	Environmental Permits	5-18
5.3.2	National Environmental Policy Act/National Historic Preservation Act	5-21
5.3.3	Clean Air Act Compliance Status.....	5-21
5.3.4	Clean Water Act Compliance Status	5-22
5.3.5	Safe Drinking Water Act Compliance Status	5-22
5.3.6	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Compliance Status	5-22
5.3.7	Oak Ridge National Laboratory RCRA-CERCLA Coordination.....	5-24
5.3.8	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Compliance Status.....	5-25
5.3.9	Toxic Substances Control Act Compliance Status	5-25
5.3.10	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act Compliance Status	5-26
5.3.11	US Department of Agriculture/Tennessee Department of Agriculture	5-27
5.3.12	Wetlands	5-27
5.3.13	Radiological Clearance of Property at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	5-29
5.4	Air Quality Program.....	5-31
5.4.1	Construction and Operating Permits.....	5-31
5.4.2	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants—Asbestos.....	5-32
5.4.3	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Radiological Airborne Effluent Monitoring.....	5-32
5.4.4	Stratospheric Ozone Protection	5-44
5.4.5	Ambient Air.....	5-44
5.5	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Water Quality Program.....	5-46
5.5.1	Treatment Facility Discharges.....	5-49
5.5.2	Residual Bromine and Chlorine Monitoring	5-50
5.5.3	Cooling Tower Blowdown Whole Effluent Toxicity Monitoring.....	5-51
5.5.4	Radiological Monitoring.....	5-53
5.5.5	Mercury in the White Oak Creek Watershed.....	5-59
5.5.6	Storm Water Surveillances and Construction Activities	5-62
5.5.7	Biological Monitoring	5-63
5.5.8	Polychlorinated Biphenyls in the White Oak Creek Watershed.....	5-71
5.5.9	Oil Pollution Prevention	5-73
5.5.10	Surface Water Surveillance Monitoring.....	5-73
5.5.11	Carbon Fiber Technology Facility Waste Water Monitoring.....	5-75

5.6	Groundwater Monitoring Program	5-76
5.6.1	DOE Office of Environmental Management Groundwater Monitoring.....	5-76
5.6.2	DOE Office of Science Groundwater Monitoring.....	5-78
5.7	Quality Assurance Program.....	5-87
5.7.1	Work/Project Planning and Control.....	5-87
5.7.2	Personnel Training and Qualifications	5-88
5.7.3	Equipment and Instrumentation.....	5-88
5.7.4	Assessment	5-89
5.7.5	Analytical Quality Assurance	5-89
5.7.6	Data Management and Reporting.....	5-89
5.7.7	Records Management	5-90
5.8	Environmental Management and Waste Management Activities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	5-90
5.8.1	3026 Hot Cells Facility Downgraded and Maintained in Surveillance and Maintenance Mode	5-90
5.8.2	Bethel Valley Groundwater Monitoring.....	5-91
5.8.3	Off-Site Groundwater Monitoring.....	5-91
5.8.4	Upgrade of 4500 Area Gaseous Waste System.....	5-91
5.8.5	Excavation of Building 3550 Slab.....	5-91
5.8.6	Uranium-233 Project	5-91
5.8.7	Building 3038 Waste Removal and Stabilization.....	5-92
5.8.8	Molten Salt Reactor Experiment Flush and Fuel Salt Removal	5-92
5.8.9	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Waste Management.....	5-92
5.8.10	SEC Federal Services Corporation Waste	5-93
5.9	References	5-93
6.	Oak Ridge Reservation Environmental Monitoring Program.....	6-1
6.1	Meteorological Monitoring.....	6-1
6.1.1	Description.....	6-1
6.1.2	Meteorological Impacts on Modeling Results	6-3
6.2	External Gamma Radiation Monitoring	6-4
6.2.1	Data Collection and Analysis	6-4
6.2.2	Results	6-5
6.3	Ambient Air Monitoring.....	6-5
6.3.1	Oak Ridge Reservation Ambient Air Monitoring.....	6-6
6.3.2	Results	6-6
6.4	Surface Water Monitoring.....	6-8
6.4.1	Oak Ridge Reservation Surface Water Monitoring.....	6-8
6.4.2	Results	6-10
6.5	Food.....	6-10
6.5.1	Vegetables	6-10
6.5.2	Milk	6-11
6.6	Fish.....	6-13
6.6.1	Results	6-14
6.7	White-Tailed Deer	6-15
6.7.1	Results	6-15
6.8	Fowl.....	6-16
6.8.1	Waterfowl Surveys—Canada Geese.....	6-16
6.8.2	Turkey Monitoring	6-16
6.9	Quality Assurance.....	6-16
6.10	References	6-17

7.	Dose	7-1
7.1	Radiation Dose	7-1
7.1.1	Terminology	7-1
7.1.2	Methods of Evaluation	7-2
7.1.3	Current-Year Summary	7-15
7.1.4	Five-Year Trends	7-16
7.1.5	Potential Contributions from Non-DOE Sources	7-17
7.1.6	Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota	7-17
7.2	Chemical Dose	7-19
7.2.1	Drinking Water Consumption	7-19
7.2.2	Fish Consumption	7-21
7.3	References	7-22
Appendix A.	Glossary	A-1
Appendix B.	Climate Overview of the Oak Ridge Area	B-1
Appendix C.	Reference Standards and Data for Water	C-1
Appendix D.	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Noncompliance Summaries for 2013	D-1
Appendix E.	Radiation	E-1
Appendix F.	Chemicals	F-1

Figures

Figure	Page
1.1	Location of the city of Oak Ridge 1-3
1.2	The Oak Ridge Reservation 1-3
1.3	Oak Ridge Reservation wetlands 1-6
1.4	Bald eagle nest on the Oak Ridge Reservation 1-10
1.5	Other interesting birds sighted on the Oak Ridge Reservation during 2013: (a) sora and (b) least bittern 1-10
1.6	The Oak Ridge National Laboratory 1-13
1.7	Y-12 National Security Complex 1-15
1.8	East Tennessee Technology Park 1-15
1.9	The Oak Ridge Environmental Research Park 1-17
3.1	East Tennessee Technology Park 3-2
3.2	East Tennessee Technology Park before the start of decontamination and decommissioning activities in 1991 3-2
3.3	East Tennessee Technology Park in 2013 3-3
3.4	Pollution prevention recycling activities related to solid waste reduction at East Tennessee Technology Park in FY 2013 3-4
3.5	US Department of Energy headquarters sustainability award winners for 2012 (awarded in 2013) 3-5
3.6	Brightfield 1 Solar Farm 3-6
3.7	Truck carrying a waste shipment passing through an electronic tracking station, part of the Radio Frequency Identification Transportation System 3-7
3.8	East Tennessee Technology Park National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit compliance since 1999 3-9
3.9	East Tennessee Technology Park total on-site ozone depleting substances (ODSs) inventory 10-year history 3-18
3.10	East Tennessee Technology Park ambient air station K11 radionuclide quarterly and rolling 12-month effective dose results from January 2009 through December 2013 3-19
3.11	East Tennessee Technology Park tracking history for stationary source greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [in CO ₂ equivalents (CO ₂ e)] (rolling 12-month totals) 3-20
3.12	East Tennessee Technology Park greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions trend and targeted reduction commitment [both in CO ₂ equivalents (CO ₂ e)] 3-21
3.13	CY 2013 East Tennessee Technology Park (ETTP) greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions distributed among the scopes defined in Executive Order 13514 3-21
3.14	East Tennessee Technology Park ambient air monitoring station locations 3-23
3.15	East Tennessee Technology Park ambient air monitoring station 3-23
3.16	Ambient air monitoring results for arsenic from January 2009 through December 2013 3-24
3.17	Ambient air monitoring results for beryllium from January 2009 through December 2013 3-25
3.18	Ambient air monitoring results for cadmium from January 2009 through December 2013 3-25
3.19	Ambient air monitoring results for chromium from January 2009 through December 2013 3-25
3.20	Ambient air monitoring results for lead from January 2009 through December 2013. 3-26

3.21	Ambient air monitoring results for uranium from January 2009 through December 2013	3-26
3.22	East Tennessee Technology Park quarterly and rolling 12-month effective dose results for January 2009 through December 2013	3-28
3.23	K-731–K-732 sumps	3-37
3.24	Hexavalent chromium discharges into Mitchell Branch	3-39
3.25	Total chromium sample results for the chromium collection system.....	3-40
3.26	Hexavalent chromium sample results for the chromium collection system.....	3-41
3.27	Storm water outfall SD 170 mercury monitoring results	3-42
3.28	Storm water outfall SD 180 mercury monitoring results	3-43
3.29	Storm water outfall SD 190 mercury monitoring results	3-43
3.30	Storm water outfall SD 05A mercury monitoring results	3-44
3.31	Storm drain (SD) networks for SDs 170, 180, 190, 200, and 210.....	3-46
3.32	Sampling locations at the K-1203 Sewage Treatment Plant area.....	3-48
3.33	East Tennessee Technology Park Environmental Monitoring Program sampling locations	3-52
3.34	Mitchell Branch instream mercury sampling results, 2008–2013	3-53
3.35	K-1700 weir surface water mercury sampling results, 2008–2013	3-53
3.36	Central Neutralization Facility–K-1435 Wastewater Treatment System radionuclide liquid discharges.....	3-56
3.37	East Tennessee Technology Park Environmental Monitoring Program surface water monitoring locations.....	3-58
3.38	Percentage of derived concentration guides (DCGs) at surface water monitoring locations, 2013	3-59
3.39	Trichloroethene concentrations in Mitchell Branch	3-59
3.40	1,2-dichloroethene concentrations in Mitchell Branch	3-60
3.41	Vinyl chloride concentrations in Mitchell Branch	3-60
3.42	Total chromium concentrations at K-1700.....	3-61
3.43	East Tennessee Technology Park exit pathway monitoring locations and associated volatile organic compound (VOC) concentration levels.....	3-62
3.44	Volatile organic compound concentrations in groundwater at the K-1064 peninsula area.....	3-64
3.45	Chromium concentrations in groundwater in the K-31–K-33 area	3-65
3.46	Detected volatile organic compound concentrations in groundwater exit pathway wells near K-27 and K-29	3-66
3.47	Trichloroethene concentrations in K-901 area springs.....	3-67
3.48	History of measured alpha and beta activity in the K-770 area.....	3-67
3.49	Location of K-1407-B and K-1407-C ponds.....	3-69
3.50	Water bodies at the East Tennessee Technology Park	3-71
3.51	Major storm water outfalls and biological monitoring locations on Mitchell Branch	3-72
3.52	Water flea (<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>)	3-72
3.53	Asiatic clam (<i>Corbicula fluminea</i>).....	3-73
3.54	Fish bioaccumulation sampling at K 1007-P1 pond.....	3-76
3.55	Bluegill sunfish (<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>)	3-77
3.56	Mean taxonomic richness in Mitchell Branch, 1987–2013	3-79
3.57	Benthic macroinvertebrate sampling using Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation protocols.....	3-80
3.58	Temporal trends in Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) Benthic Macroinvertebrate Biotic Index (a) and Stream Habitat Index (b) scores for Mitchell Branch, August 2008 to 2013.....	3-81
3.59	Species richness for fish communities	3-82
3.60	Density for fish communities	3-82

3.61	Building K-25 in late 2013.....	3-86
3.62	East Tennessee Technology Park reindustrialization status, 2013.....	3-88
3.63	Biosolids application areas on the Oak Ridge Reservation.....	3-89
4.1	Gross square footage by age of mission-critical facilities at the Y-12 Complex.....	4-2
4.2	Uranium Processing Facility conceptual image.....	4-3
4.3	B&W Y-12 environment, safety, and health policy.....	4-4
4.4	Y-12 hosts the Tennessee Green Star Partnership East Tennessee Workshop.....	4-7
4.5	Girls experienced hands-on activities at various exhibits during the second Introduce a Girl to Engineering day at Y-12.....	4-7
4.6	Cost efficiencies from Y-12 Complex pollution prevention activities.....	4-10
4.7	Y-12 Complex pollution prevention initiatives.....	4-10
4.8	Clean Sweep sustain area at the Y-12 Complex.....	4-11
4.9	Y-12 Complex recycling results.....	4-11
4.10	Y-12 has achieved a 34% reduction in energy intensity compared to the baseline year, 2003.....	4-12
4.11	Y-12 Complex electricity load forecast.....	4-13
4.12	Y-12 Complex water intensity goals.....	4-18
4.13	Fleet management roadmap.....	4-20
4.14	Faircloth skimmer at Sediment Basin 1.....	4-22
4.15	Permeable pavement and native planting contributes to compliance with EISA 438 requirements.....	4-22
4.16	Y-12 Complex path to elimination of its inventory of legacy mixed waste as part of the Oak Ridge Reservation site treatment plan.....	4-28
4.17	Hazardous waste generation, 2009–2013.....	4-29
4.18	Total curies of uranium discharged from the Y-12 Complex to the atmosphere, 2009–2013.....	4-40
4.19	Total kilograms of uranium discharged from the Y-12 Complex to the atmosphere, 2009–2013.....	4-40
4.20	Locations of ambient air monitoring stations at the Y 12 Complex.....	4-44
4.21	Temporal trends in mercury vapor concentration for the boundary monitoring stations at the Y-12 Complex, July 1986 to January 2013 [(a) and (b)] and January 1994 to January 2013 for ambient air station 8 [(c)].....	4-46
4.22	Major Y-12 Complex National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) outfalls and monitoring locations.....	4-49
4.23	Surface water and sanitary sewer radiological sampling locations at the Y-12 Complex.....	4-53
4.24	Five-year trend of Y-12 Complex releases of uranium to East Fork Poplar Creek.....	4-55
4.25	Y-12 Complex storm water monitoring locations.....	4-56
4.26	Surface Water Hydrological Information Support System (SWHISS) monitoring locations.....	4-57
4.27	Locations of biological monitoring sites on East Fork Poplar Creek in relation to the Y-12 National Security Complex.....	4-61
4.28	Locations of biological monitoring reference sites in relation to the Y 12 National Security Complex.....	4-62
4.29	Semiannual average mercury concentration in water from and muscle fillets of redbreast sunfish in East Fork Poplar Creek (EFPC) at EFPC kilometers 23.4 (water) and 24.4 (fish) through 2013.....	4-63
4.30	Mean concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in rock bass muscle fillets in East Fork Poplar Creek (EFPC) at EFPC kilometer 23.4 through spring 2013.....	4-64

4.31	Total taxonomic richness of the Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) of the benthic macroinvertebrate communities sampled in spring from East Fork Poplar Creek and two nearby reference streams, 1986–2013	4-65
4.32	Comparison of mean sensitive species richness (number of species) collected each year from 1985–2013 from four sites in East Fork Poplar Creek and a reference site.....	4-66
4.33	Fish density (number of fish per square meter) for two sites in upper East Fork Poplar Creek and a reference site (Brushy Fork) from 1985–2013.....	4-66
4.34	Known or potential contaminant sources for which groundwater monitoring was performed at the Y-12 National Security Complex during CY 2013.....	4-67
4.35	Hydrogeologic regimes at the Y-12 National Security Complex and the position of the Maynardville Limestone in Bear Creek Valley.....	4-68
4.36	Cross section of a typical groundwater monitoring well.....	4-69
4.37	Location of Y-12 National Security Complex perimeter/exit pathway well, spring, and surface water monitoring stations.....	4-71
4.38	Groundwater monitoring well sampling at Y-12.....	4-72
4.39	Nitrate observed in groundwater at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2013.....	4-75
4.40	Y-12 groundwater and surface water monitoring stations where mercury has been detected.....	4-76
4.41	Summed volatile organic compounds observed in groundwater at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2013	4-78
4.42	Gross alpha activity observed in groundwater at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2013	4-79
4.43	Gross beta activity observed in groundwater at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2013	4-80
4.44	Decreasing summed volatile organic compounds (VOCs) observed in exit pathway well GW-722-17 near the New Hope Pond, 1997–2013	4-81
4.45	Increasing levels of volatile organic compounds observed in groundwater at well GW 627, west and downgradient of the Bear Creek Burial Grounds, 1991–2013	4-85
4.46	CY 2013 concentrations of selected contaminants in exit pathway monitoring wells in the Bear Creek hydrogeologic regime	4-87
5.1	Location of Oak Ridge National Laboratory within the Oak Ridge Reservation and its relationship to other local US Department of Energy facilities.....	5-2
5.2	Production of lower cost carbon fiber at the Carbon Fiber Technology Facility	5-3
5.3	The relationship between the UT-Battelle Environmental Management System and the Integrated Safety Management System	5-5
5.4	UT-Battelle environmental policy statements.....	5-6
5.5	Modernization and facilities revitalization.....	5-8
5.6	Summary of energy intensity reduction results and progress toward goal (30% reduction), which was met in 2012	5-12
5.7	ORNL building energy performance from FY 2001 through FY 2013	5-12
5.8	ORNL’s solar-assisted electric vehicle charging station.....	5-13
5.9	ORNL water use intensity (WUI) FY 2007–FY 2020, including 2020 and incremental DOE goals	5-13
5.10	Solid waste recycled at Oak Ridge National Laboratory as a result of recycling programs.....	5-14
5.11	Chemical and Materials Sciences Building.....	5-15
5.12	Locations of major radiological emission points at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	5-33
5.13	Total curies of tritium discharged from Oak Ridge National Laboratory to the atmosphere, 2009–2013.....	5-43
5.14	Total curies of ¹³¹ I discharged from Oak Ridge National Laboratory to the atmosphere, 2009–2013.....	5-43

5.15	Total discharges of ⁴¹ Ar, ¹¹ C, ¹³⁸ Cs, ²¹² Pb, and ²³² Th from Oak Ridge National Laboratory to the atmosphere, 2009–2013	5-44
5.16	Locations of ambient air monitoring stations at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	5-45
5.17	Diagram of the adaptive management framework with step-wise planning specific to the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Water Quality Protection Plan (WQPP)	5-47
5.18	Application of stressor identification guidance to address mercury impairment in the White Oak Creek watershed	5-48
5.19	Oak Ridge National Laboratory surface water, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, and reference sampling locations	5-56
5.20	Outfalls at Oak Ridge National Laboratory with average radionuclide concentrations greater than 4% of the relevant derived concentration standards in 2013	5-57
5.21	Cesium-137 discharges at White Oak Dam, 2009–2013	5-57
5.22	Gross alpha discharges at White Oak Dam, 2009–2013	5-57
5.23	Gross beta discharges at White Oak Dam, 2009–2013	5-58
5.24	Total radioactive strontium discharges at White Oak Dam, 2009–2013	5-58
5.25	Tritium discharges at White Oak Dam, 2009–2013	5-58
5.26	Annual flow volume at White Oak Dam, 2009–2013	5-59
5.27	Total aqueous mercury concentrations at sites in White Oak Creek downstream from Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1998–2013	5-60
5.28	Total mercury concentration and flux at selected Oak Ridge National Laboratory instream locations, 2009 through 2013	5-61
5.29	Active construction sites and Oak Ridge National Laboratory Water Quality Protection Plan monitoring locations, 2013	5-62
5.30	Mean concentrations of mercury in muscle tissue of sunfish and bass from White Oak Creek and White Oak Lake, 1998–2013	5-64
5.31	Mean polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) concentrations in fish fillets collected from the White Oak Creek watershed, 1998–2013	5-64
5.32	Temporal trends in Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation Biotic Index Scores for White Oak Creek watershed, August 2006–August 2013	5-66
5.33	Taxonomic richness of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in First Creek, April sampling periods, 1987–2013	5-67
5.34	Total taxonomic richness of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in Fifth Creek, April sampling periods, 1987–2013	5-68
5.35	Total taxonomic richness of the benthic macroinvertebrate communities in White Oak Creek, April sampling periods, 1987–2013	5-69
5.36	Total taxonomic richness of the benthic macroinvertebrate communities in lower Melton Branch, April sampling periods, 1987–2013	5-70
5.37	Fish species richness (number of species) in upper White Oak Creek and lower Melton Branch compared with two reference streams	5-71
5.38	Locations of monitoring points for First Creek source investigation	5-72
5.39	Oak Ridge National Laboratory surface water sampling locations	5-74
5.40	UT-Battelle exit pathway groundwater monitoring locations at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 2013	5-80
5.41	Groundwater monitoring locations at the Spallation Neutron Source, 2013	5-85
5.42	Simple hydrograph of spring discharge vs time after initiation of rainfall	5-85
5.43	A concrete berm being poured as part of the 3026 stabilization activities	5-90
5.44	HEPA shield plug being removed from a filter pit	5-91
6.1	The Oak Ridge Reservation meteorological monitoring network, including SODAR (SOmic Detection And Ranging) devices	6-3
6.2	External gamma radiation monitoring locations on the Oak Ridge Reservation	6-4
6.3	Oak Ridge Reservation ambient air station	6-5
6.4	Locations of Oak Ridge Reservation perimeter air monitoring stations	6-6

6.5 Oak Ridge Reservation surface water surveillance sampling locations 6-9

6.6 Milk sampling locations in the vicinity of the Oak Ridge Reservation..... 6-12

6.7 Fish sampling locations for the Oak Ridge Reservation Surveillance Program..... 6-13

7.1 Nuclides contributing to the effective dose at the Y-12 National Security Complex 7-6

7.2 Nuclides contributing to effective dose at Oak Ridge National Laboratory 7-6

7.3 Nuclides contributing to effective dose at East Tennessee Technology Park 7-7

Tables

Table	Page
1.1	Animal species of special concern reported on the Oak Ridge Reservation..... 1-7
1.2	Vascular plant species listed by state or federal agencies and sighted/reported on or near the Oak Ridge Reservation, 2013..... 1-11
2.1	Applicable environmental laws/regulations and 2013 status 2-2
2.2	Summary of regulatory environmental evaluations, audits, inspections, and assessments conducted at Oak Ridge Reservation, 2013 2-7
3.1	Radio Frequency Identification Transportation System sustainable results..... 3-8
3.2	East Tennessee Technology Park Environmental Permits, 2013 3-10
3.3	Regulatory oversight, assessments, inspections, and site visits at East Tennessee Technology Park, 2013..... 3-12
3.4	Total uranium in ambient air by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer analysis at East Tennessee Technology Park, 2013 3-26
3.5	Radionuclides in ambient air at East Tennessee Technology Park, January 2013 through December 2013 3-27
3.6	Storm water sampling for radiological discharges, 2013 3-29
3.7	Storm water pollution prevention routine dose modeling monitoring program results that exceeded the screening criteria in 2013..... 3-30
3.8	Radionuclides released to surface waters from the East Tennessee Technology Park storm water system, 2013..... 3-30
3.9	Analytical results for storm water outfalls SD 230 and SD 240 that exceeded the radiological field evaluation screening level or chemical reference standard, 2013..... 3-31
3.10	Analytical results from follow-up sampling at storm water outfall SD 230, 2013..... 3-31
3.11	Analytical results that exceed the field evaluation screening level values internally established at 4% of the DCG for the drainage areas associated with the Building K-25 ⁹⁹ Tc Area, 2013 3-33
3.12	Surface water sampling to support remedial action activities at the K-1070-B burial ground, 2013..... 3-34
3.13	Analytical results over reference standards for K-1070-B burial ground monitoring, 2013 3-34
3.14	Sediment sampling to support remedial action activities at the K-770 Scrap Yard, 2013 3-35
3.15	Analytical results for contaminants of concern in 724 holding pond sediments, 2013 3-35
3.16	Analytical results over reference standards for water from the K-731–K-732 sumps, 2013 (Reference Standard: 0.00064 µg/L)..... 3-37
3.17	Analytical results for contaminants of concern in sediments from the K-732 switchyard oil-water separators, 2013..... 3-38
3.18	Monitoring requirements—Mitchell Branch subwatershed total and hexavalent chromium sampling locations 3-40
3.19	Quarterly National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System mercury monitoring results as reported for CY 2013 3-42
3.20	Mercury results from wet weather monitoring conducted in the drainage networks of the Mitchell Branch storm water outfalls in June 2013 3-45
3.21	Mercury results from dry weather monitoring conducted in the drainage networks of the Mitchell Branch storm water outfalls in July 2013 3-45

3.22	Mercury results from sediment sampling at select Mitchell Branch storm water outfalls in 2013	3-46
3.23	Mercury results from soil/sediment sampling conducted in the K-1203 Sewage Treatment Plant area in 2013	3-47
3.24	Mercury results of water sampling conducted in the K-1203 Sewage Treatment Plant area in 2013	3-47
3.25	Mercury results from storm water sampling activities associated with decontamination and decommissioning activities at the K-25 building	3-49
3.26	Mercury results from storm water sampling activities associated with the remedial action activities at the K-1070-B burial ground	3-49
3.27	Mercury result from sediment sampling associated with the remedial action activities at the K-700 scrap yard area	3-50
3.28	Mercury result from water sampling associated with the remedial action activities at the K-720 coal ash pile	3-50
3.29	Mercury results from storm water monitoring conducted in CY 2013	3-50
3.30	East Tennessee Technology Park Environmental Monitoring Program quarterly surface water mercury results for CY 2013	3-51
3.31	East Tennessee Technology Park Environmental Monitoring Program semiannual surface water mercury results for CY 2013	3-51
3.32	Water Resources Restoration Program mercury sampling results for the K 1700 Weir in CY 2013	3-54
3.33	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit TN0074225 storm water outfall SD 001 monitoring requirements	3-55
3.34	Isotopic discharges from the Central Neutralization Facility Wastewater Treatment System, 2013	3-56
3.35	Volatile organic compounds detected in groundwater in the Mitchell Branch exit pathway	3-63
3.36	Toxicity test results for Mitchell Branch and associated storm water outfalls, 2013 (no-observed-effects concentrations)	3-73
3.37	Compiled data for polychlorinated biphenyl concentrations ($\mu\text{g/g}$, wet weight) in caged Asiatic clams (<i>Corbicula fluminea</i>), 2009 to 2013	3-73
3.38	Compiled data for mercury concentrations (ng/g , wet weight) in caged Asiatic clams (<i>Corbicula fluminea</i>), 2010 to 2013	3-75
3.39	Polychlorinated biphenyl levels (mg/kg) in fish samples at East Tennessee Technology Park, 2009 to 2013	3-76
3.40	Mercury levels (mg/kg) in fish fillet and whole body samples at East Tennessee Technology Park, 2009 to 2013	3-78
3.41	Biosolids applied on the Oak Ridge Reservation in CY 2013 by the City of Oak Ridge (Tons)	3-89
3.42	High Pasture Field 1	3-90
3.43	High Pasture Field 2	3-90
3.44	Upper Hay Field 1	3-91
3.45	Upper Hay Field 2	3-91
3.46	Scarboro Field 1	3-91
3.47	Scarboro Field 2	3-92
3.48	Rogers Field 1	3-92
3.49	Rogers Field 2	3-92
3.50	Watson Road Field 1	3-93
3.51	Watson Road Field 2	3-93
4.1	Energy conservation measures included in Energy Savings Performance Contract delivery order 3	4-14
4.2	FY 2013 sustainability goals and status	4-15

4.3	Summary of petroleum and alternative fuel use over an 8-year period.....	4-19
4.4	Y-12 National Security Complex greenhouse gas emissions summary	4-20
4.5	Y-12 National Security Complex environmental permits, 2013	4-24
4.6	Y-12 National Security Complex Resource Conservation and Recovery Act postclosure status for former treatment, storage, and disposal units on the Oak Ridge Reservation	4-30
4.7	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act Section 313 toxic chemical release and off-site transfer summary for the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2012 and 2013.....	4-32
4.8	Summary of external regulatory audits and reviews, 2013	4-34
4.9	Summary of materials released in 2013	4-34
4.10	DOE O 458.1 preapproved authorized limits	4-36
4.11	Actual versus allowable air emissions from the Y-12 Complex Steam Plant, 2013	4-38
4.12	Greenhouse gas emissions from Y-12 Complex stationary fuel combustion sources.....	4-42
4.13	Summary of data for the Y-12 National Security Complex ambient air monitoring program for mercury for CY 2013	4-45
4.14	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System compliance monitoring requirements and record for the Y-12 Complex, January through December 2013.....	4-50
4.15	Radiological parameters monitored at the Y-12 Complex, 2013	4-52
4.16	Summary of Y-12 Complex radiological monitoring plan sample requirements and 2013 results	4-54
4.17	Release of uranium from the Y-12 Complex to the off-site environment as a liquid effluent, 2009–2013.....	4-54
4.18	Y-12 Complex Discharge Point SS6, Sanitary Sewer Station 6, January through December 2013	4-58
4.19	Y-12 Complex Biomonitoring Program summary information for outfalls 200 and 135 in 2013	4-60
4.20	Summary groundwater monitoring at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2013	4-70
4.21	Description of waste management units and underground storage tanks included in groundwater monitoring activities, upper East Fork Poplar Creek hydrogeologic regime, 2013.....	4-73
4.22	Description of waste management units included in calendar year 2013 groundwater monitoring activities, Bear Creek hydrogeologic regime.....	4-82
4.23	Nitrate and uranium concentrations in Bear Creek	4-85
4.24	Description of waste management units included in groundwater monitoring activities, Chestnut Ridge hydrogeologic regime, 2013.....	4-88
5.1	Summary of UT-Battelle progress toward attainment of DOE sustainability goals, 2013	5-9
5.2	Summary of regulatory environmental audits, evaluations, inspections, and assessments conducted at ORNL, 2013	5-18
5.3	Oak Ridge National Laboratory environmental permits, 2013	5-19
5.4	National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) activities, 2013	5-21
5.5	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Resource Conservation and Recovery Act operating permits, 2013.....	5-23
5.6	Main elements of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act.....	5-26
5.7	Excess items requested for release and/or recycling, calendar year 2013.....	5-30
5.8	Radiological airborne emissions from all sources at ORNL, 2013	5-35
5.9	Radionuclide concentrations (pCi/mL) measured at Oak Ridge National Laboratory perimeter air monitoring stations, 2013.....	5-45
5.10	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System compliance at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 2013	5-49

5.11	Outfalls exceeding total residual oxidant action level in 2013.....	5-51
5.12	Summary results of chronic <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> toxicity tests of ORNL cooling towers and outfalls conducted during September 2013.....	5-52
5.13	Field parameters and results from laboratory analyses of blowdown from Oak Ridge National Laboratory cooling towers.....	5-53
5.14	Radiological monitoring conducted under the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Water Quality Protection Plan, 2013.....	5-54
5.15	First Creek PCB source assessment, August 2013.....	5-73
5.16	Oak Ridge National Laboratory surface water sampling locations, frequencies, and parameters, 2013.....	5-75
5.17	Industrial and Commercial User Waste Water Discharge Permit compliances at ORNL Carbon Fiber Technology Facility, 2013.....	5-76
5.18	Scheduled 2013 exit pathway groundwater monitoring.....	5-81
5.19	2013 exit pathway groundwater monitoring—results of trend analyses for parameters exceeding reference standards.....	5-82
5.20	2013 exit pathway groundwater monitoring results—detected radiological parameters.....	5-82
5.21	2013 exit pathway groundwater monitoring results—detected organic parameters.....	5-83
5.22	2013 Spallation Neutron Source monitoring program schedule.....	5-86
5.23	Spallation Neutron Source groundwater monitoring results—tritium detections in 2013.....	5-86
5.24	Spallation Neutron Source groundwater monitoring results—other radionuclide detections in 2013.....	5-87
6.1	Oak Ridge Reservation meteorological towers.....	6-2
6.2	External gamma (exposure rate) averages for the Oak Ridge Reservation, 2013.....	6-5
6.3	Average radionuclide concentrations at Oak Ridge Reservation perimeter air monitoring stations, 2013.....	6-7
6.4	Oak Ridge Reservation surface water sampling locations, frequencies, and parameters, 2013.....	6-9
6.5	Concentrations of radionuclides detected in vegetables, 2013 (pCi/kg).....	6-11
6.6	Concentrations of radionuclides detected in raw milk, 2013.....	6-12
6.7	Tissue concentrations in catfish and sunfish for mercury, detected PCBs, and detected radionuclides, 2013.....	6-14
7.1	Emission point parameters and receptor locations used in the dose calculations.....	7-3
7.2	Meteorological towers and heights used to model atmospheric dispersion from source emissions.....	7-4
7.3	Calculated radiation doses to maximally exposed off-site individuals from airborne releases, 2013.....	7-5
7.4	Calculated collective effective doses from airborne releases, 2013.....	7-5
7.5	Hypothetical effective doses from living at the Oak Ridge Reservation and the East Tennessee Technology Park ambient-air monitoring stations, 2013.....	7-7
7.6	Summary of annual maximum individual (mrem) and collective (person-rem) effective doses (EDs) from waterborne radionuclides, 2013.....	7-11
7.7	Summary of maximum estimated effective doses to an adult by exposure pathway.....	7-16
7.8	Trends in effective dose (mrem) for selected pathways.....	7-17
7.9	Chemical hazard quotients and estimated risks for drinking water, 2013.....	7-20
7.10	Chemical hazard quotients and estimated risks for carcinogens in fish, 2013.....	7-21

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AAS	ambient air (monitoring) station
ABC	aluminum beverage can (recycling)
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ACO	Analytical Chemistry Organization (Y-12 Complex)
ACM	asbestos-containing material
AFV	alternative fuel vehicle
AGL	above ground level
ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
ANSI/HPS	ANSI Health Physics Society (standard)
AOEC	Agent Operations Eastern Command (NNSA OST)
ARAP	Aquatic Resource Alteration Permit
ARRA	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act
ASER	<i>Oak Ridge Reservation Annual Site Environmental Report</i>
AWQC	ambient water quality criterion
B&W Y-12	Babcock & Wilcox Technical Services Y-12, LLC
BCG	biota concentration guide
BMAP	Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program
BRW	bedrock well
C&D	construction and demolition
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAP-88	Clean Air Assessment Package (software)
CAS	condition assessment survey
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	<i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
CFTF	Carbon Fiber Technology Facility
CH	contact-handled
CMSB	Chemical and Materials Science Building
CNF	Central Neutralization Facility
CO ₂ e	CO ₂ equivalent
COC	contaminant of concern
CPU	central processing unit (computer)
CRK	Clinch River kilometer
CROET	Community Reuse Organization of East Tennessee
CRT	cathode-ray tube (also display devices, especially computers, incorporating cathode-ray tubes)
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWTS	Chromium Water Treatment System (ETTP)

CX	categorical exclusion
CY	calendar year
D&D	decontamination and decommissioning
DAC	derived air concentration
DCA	dichloroethane
DCE	dichloroethene/dichloroethylene
DCG	derived concentration guide
DCS	derived concentration standard
DNAPL	dense nonaqueous phase liquid
DOE	US Department of Energy
DOE ORO	DOE Oak Ridge Office
DVD	digital video disc
E85	Ethanol-fuel blend consisting of up to 85% ethanol and 15% gasoline or other hydrocarbon
EBSR	Environmental Baseline Survey Report
EC&P	Environmental Compliance and Protection
ECD	Environmental Compliance Department (Y-12 Complex)
ECM	energy conservation measure
ED	effective dose
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EFK	East Fork Poplar Creek kilometer
EFPC	East Fork Poplar Creek
EISA	Energy Independence and Security Act
EM	environmental management
EMDF	Environmental Management Disposal Facility
EMMIS	Environmental Monitoring Management Information System (Y-12 Complex)
EMP	environmental monitoring program
EMPO	Environmental Management Program Office (ORNL)
EMS	Environmental Management System
EMWMF	Environmental Management Waste Management Facility
EO	executive order
EPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
EPEAT	Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool
EPT	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (taxa)
EP&WSD	Environmental Protection and Waste Services Division (UT-Battelle)
ES&H	environment, safety, and health
ESPC	Energy Savings Performance Contract
ESS	Environmental Surveillance System (ORNL)
ETTP	East Tennessee Technology Park
EU	exposure unit
FAST	Federal Automotive Statistical Tool
FCK	First Creek kilometer

FEC	Federal Electronics Challenge
FFA	federal facility agreement
FFCA	Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement
FFK	Fifth Creek kilometer
FY	fiscal year
GET	General Employee Training
GHG	greenhouse gas
GI	green infrastructure
GWPP	Groundwater Protection Program (Y-12)
HAP	hazardous air pollutant
HCC	Halcyon Commercialization Center
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air
HEU	highly enriched uranium
HFIR	High Flux Isotope Reactor
HPSB	high-performance sustainable building
HQ	hazard quotient
HVAC	heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning
IC ₂₅	inhibition concentration (the concentration of effluent that causes a 25% reduction in survival, reproduction, and/or growth of monitored species)
ICP-MS	inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometer
ID	identification (number)
IDMS	Integrated Document Management System (UT-Battelle)
ISMS	Integrated Safety Management System
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
Isotek	Isotek Systems LLC
IWC	instream waste concentration
LCD	liquid crystal display
LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
LID	low impact development
LIMS	Laboratory Information Management System (Y-12 Complex)
LLW	low-level waste
M&E	material and equipment
M&TE	measurement and test equipment
MACT	Maximum Achievable Control Technology
MARSAME	<i>Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Assessment of Materials and Equipment Manual</i>
MARSSIM	<i>Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual</i>
MBK	Mill Branch kilometer
MCK	McCoy Branch kilometer
MCL	maximum contaminant level

MDA	minimum detectable activity
MDF	Manufacturing Demonstration Facility
MEI	maximally exposed individual
MEK	Melton Branch kilometer
MIK	Mitchell Branch kilometer
MOA	memorandum of agreement
MSRE	Molten Salt Reactor Experiment
MT	meteorological tower (when directly followed by a numeral as in “MT2”)
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESHAPs	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Administration
NNSS	Nevada National Security Site
NOV	notice of violation
NO _x	oxides of nitrogen
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List (EPA)
NPO	NNSA Production Office
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NSF-ISR	NSF International Strategic Registrations, Ltd.
NSPS	New Source Performance Standard
NTRC	National Transportation Research Center
O&M	operations and maintenance
ODS	ozone-depleting substance
OMP	operational monitoring plan
ORAU	Oak Ridge Associated Universities
OREIS	Oak Ridge Environmental Information System (ORNL)
ORFRC	Oak Ridge Field Research Center
ORGDP	Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant
ORISE	Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
ORO	Oak Ridge Office
ORPS	Occurrence Reporting and Processing System (Y-12 Complex)
ORR	Oak Ridge Reservation
ORRL	Oak Ridge Reservation Landfill
ORSSAB	Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board
ORSTP	Oak Ridge Science and Technology Park
OS	Office of Science (DOE)
OST	Office of Secure Transportation (NNSA)

P2	designation for an on-site ORNL wetland
PAM	perimeter air monitoring (station)
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCE	tetrachloroethene
PEMS	Predictive Emissions Monitoring System
PM	particulate matter
PM ₁₀	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 10 µm
PM _{2.5}	fine particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 2.5 µm
POTW	publicly owned treatment works
PSDT	Professional Site Design Team
PWTC	Process Waste Treatment Complex
QA	quality assurance
QC	quality control
RA	remedial action
R&D	research and development
Rad-NESHAPs	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Radionuclides
RATA	relative accuracy test audit
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RDR	remedial design report
REDC	Radiochemical Engineering Development Center
RESRAD	residual radioactivity
RFITS	Radio Frequency Identification Transportation System
RH	remote-handled
RI	remedial investigation
RI/FS	remedial investigation/feasibility study
RICE	reciprocating internal combustion engine
RMP	risk management plan
ROD	record of decision
RQ	reportable quantity (CERCLA)
RRSTP	Rarity Ridge Sewage Treatment Plant
RSI	Restoration Services, Inc.
RSO	radiation safety officer
S&M	surveillance and maintenance
SAA	satellite accumulation area
SAP	sampling and analysis plan
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SBMS	Standards-Based Management System (UT-Battelle)
SCP	standards and calibration program
SD	storm drain
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SEC	SEC Federal Services Corporation

SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office (Tennessee)
SNAP	Significant New Alternatives Program (EPA)
SNM	special nuclear material
SNS	Spallation Neutron Source
SODAR	SOndic Detection And Ranging
SPCC	spill prevention, control, and countermeasures (plan)
SPMD	semipermeable membrane device
SSP	site sustainability plan
SSPP	Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan (DOE)
STP	sewage treatment plant
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
SWEIS	sitewide environmental impact statement
SWHISS	Surface Water Hydrological Information Support System (Y-12 Complex)
SWPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention
SWPPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
SWSA	solid waste storage area
TCA	trichloroethane
TCE	trichloroethene/trichloroethylene
TDEC	Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation
TEMA	Tennessee Emergency Management Agency
TGSP	Tennessee Green Star Partnership
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TOA	Tennessee Oversight Agreement
TP3	Tennessee Pollution Prevention Partnership
TRI	toxic (chemical) release inventory
TRO	total residual oxidant
TRU	transuranic
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority
TWA	time-weighted average
TWPC	Transuranic Waste Processing Center
TWRA	Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency
UCOR	URS CH2M Oak Ridge LLC
UMC	Unneeded Materials and Chemicals
UMS	Utilities Management System (Y-12 Complex)
UNW	unconsolidated well
UPF	Uranium Processing Facility (Y-12 Complex)
USACE	US Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	US Department of Agriculture
UST	underground storage tank
UT	University of Tennessee
UV	ultraviolet

VOC	volatile organic compound
WAI	Wastren Advantage, Inc.
WCK	WOC kilometer
WEMA	west end mercury-use area (Y-12)
WET	whole effluent toxicity
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
WOC	White Oak Creek
WOD	White Oak Dam
WQC	water quality criterion
WQPP	water quality protection plan
WRRP	Water Resources Restoration Program
Y-12/Y-12 Complex	Y-12 National Security Complex
ZPR	Zero Power Reactor

Units of Measure and Conversion Factors*

Units of measure and their abbreviations

Acre	acre	millicurie	mCi
becquerel	Bq	milligram	mg
British thermal unit	Btu	milliliter	mL
centimeter	cm	millimeter	mm
curie	Ci	million	M
day	day	millirad	mrad
degrees Celsius	°C	millirem	mrem
degrees Fahrenheit	°F	milliroentgen	mR
disintegrations per minute	dpm	millisievert	
foot	ft	minute	mSv
gallon	gal	nanogram	ng
gallons per minute	gal/min	nephelometric turbidity unit	NTU
gram	g	parts per billion	ppb
gray	Gy	parts per million	ppm
gross square feet	gsf	parts per trillion	ppt
hectare	ha	picocurie	pCi
hour	h	pound	lb
inch	in.	pound mass	lbm
joule	J	pounds per square inch	psi
kilocurie	kCi	pounds per square inch gage	psig
kilogram	kg	quart	qt
kilometer	km	rad	rad
kilowatt	kW	roentgen	R
liter	L	rem	rem
megajoule	MJ	roentgen equivalent man	rem
megawatt	MW	second	s
megawatt-hour	MWh	sievert	Sv
meter	m	standard unit (pH)	SU
microcurie	μCi	ton, short (2,000 lb)	ton
microgram	μg	yard	yd
micrometer	μm	year	year

Quantitative prefixes

exa	$\times 10^{18}$	atto	$\times 10^{-18}$
peta	$\times 10^{15}$	femto	$\times 10^{-15}$
tera	$\times 10^{12}$	pico	$\times 10^{-12}$
giga	$\times 10^9$	nano	$\times 10^{-9}$
mega	$\times 10^6$	micro	$\times 10^{-6}$
kilo	$\times 10^3$	milli	$\times 10^{-3}$
hecto	$\times 10^2$	centi	$\times 10^{-2}$
deka	$\times 10^1$	deci	$\times 10^{-1}$

* Due to differing permit reporting requirements and instrument capabilities, various units of measurement are used in this report. The provided list of units of measure and conversion factors is intended to help readers make approximate conversions to other units as needed for specific calculations and comparisons.

Unit conversions

Unit	Conversion	Equivalent	Unit	Conversion	Equivalent
Length					
in.	× 2.54	cm	cm	× 0.394	in.
ft	× 0.305	m	m	× 3.28	ft
mile	× 1.61	km	km	× 0.621	mile
Area					
acre	× 0.405	ha	ha	× 2.47	acre
ft ²	× 0.093	m ²	m ²	× 10.764	ft ²
mile ²	× 2.59	km ²	km ²	× 0.386	mile ²
Volume					
ft ³	× 0.028	m ³	m ³	× 35.31	ft ³
qt (US liquid)	× 0.946	L	L	× 1.057	qt (US liquid)
gal	× 3.7854118	L	L	× 0.264172051	gal
Concentration					
ppb					ppb
ppm	× 1	mg/L	mg/L	× 1	ppm
Weight					
lb	× 0.4536	kg	kg	× 2.205	lb
lbm	× 0.45356	kg	kg	× 2.2046226	lbm
ton, short	× 907.1847	kg	kg	× 0.00110231131	ton, short
Temperature					
°C	°F = (9/5) °C + 32	°F	°F	°C = (5/9) (F—32)	°C
Activity					
Bq	× 2.7 × 10 ⁻¹¹	Ci	Ci	× 3.7 × 10 ¹⁰	Bq
Bq	× 27	pCi	pCi	× 0.037	Bq
mSv	× 100	mrem	mrem	× 0.01	mSv
Sv	× 100	rem	rem	× 0.01	Sv
nCi	× 1,000	pCi	pCi	× 0.001	nCi
mCi/km ²	× 1	nCi/m ²	nCi/m ²	× 1	mCi/km ²
dpm/L	× 0.45 × 10 ⁹	μCi/cm ³	μCi/cm ³	× 2.22 × 10 ⁹	dpm/L
pCi/L	× 10 ⁻⁹	μCi/mL	μCi/mL	× 10 ⁹	pCi/L
pCi/m ³	× 10 ⁻¹²	μCi/cm ³	μCi/cm ³	× 10 ¹²	pCi/m ³

Acknowledgments

The ASER technical coordinators and project team wish to thank those who participated in the publication of the *Oak Ridge Reservation Annual Site Environmental Report*. Although we cannot name everyone involved in the environmental monitoring program, we would like to also thank and acknowledge those conducting sampling and analytical support.

DOE

Tracye Baber
Steve Cooke

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

Carrie Barber
Betsy Brucken
Kevin Crow
Christa Davis
Katie Davis
Howell Estes
Steve Foster
Glen Galen
Sherry Gibson
Stephen Goodpasture
Charles Justice
Richard Ketelle
Rodney Kingrea
Bruce McElhoe
Jeff Murphy
Tony Poole
Gill Salade
Cheryl Sayler
Roxianne Sherles
Adam Smith
Karen Whaley
Steven Wood

ORISE

Rac Cox

ORNL

Bill Biloski
Kevin Birdwell
Terry Bonine
Jason Case
Gary Chadwick—Isotek
Jim Eaton
Rich Franco
Neil Giffen
Wes Goddard
Scott Gregory
Julia Hancock
Trent Jett
Swati Kirpekar
Regis Loffman
Larry Long
Diane Maddox
Terry Mathews
Lori Muhs
Don Naab
Todd North
Anne Ostergaard
Mark Peterson
Kyle Rutherford
Ernest Ryan
Pat Scofield
Jeff Sickau
George Stephens
John Smith
Linda Smith
Sam Thomas—WAI
Charlie Valentine

Y-12 COMPLEX

Gary Beck
Ellen Boatner
Jeff Bruner
Terry Cothron
Laura Cunningham
Melanie Dillon
Jennifer Dixon
Stan Duke
Jan Jackson
Kim Hanzelka
Russ Harden
Gail Harp
Clarence Hill
William Hurst
Robert Johnson
Steve Jones
Ivy Lalonde
Cathy McCoy
Stacey McNamara
Carrie Miller
Jane Nations
Terry Nore
Aprell Patterson
Randy Redmond
Paula Roddy-Roche
Beth Schultz
Mark Shedden
Brad Skaggs
Johnny Skinner
Tim Tharp
Lenny Vaughan
Jeannette Widman
Mick Wiest
Rebekah Young

Executive Summary

Overview

The US Department of Energy's (DOE's) Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR) is located in Roane and Anderson counties in east Tennessee, about 40 km (25 miles) from Knoxville. ORR is one of DOE's most unique and complex sites. It encompasses three major facilities and thousands of employees that perform every mission in the DOE portfolio—energy research, environmental restoration, national security, nuclear fuel supply, reindustrialization, science education, basic and applied research in areas important to US security, and technology transfer. ORR was established in the early 1940s as part of the Manhattan Project for the purposes of enriching uranium and pioneering methods for producing and separating plutonium. Today, scientists at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), DOE's largest multipurpose national laboratory, conduct world-leading research in advanced materials, alternative fuels, climate change, and supercomputing. The Y-12 National Security Complex (Y-12 Complex) is vital in maintaining the safety, security, and effectiveness of the US nuclear weapons stockpile and reducing the global threat posed by nuclear proliferation and terrorism. The East Tennessee Technology Park (ETTP), a former uranium enrichment complex, is being transitioned to a clean, revitalized industrial park.

DOE has established an Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) to integrate safety into all aspects of work at its facilities. Safety, as defined in ISMS, encompasses protection of the public, the worker, and the environment and includes all safety, health, and environmental disciplines (i.e., radiation protection, fire protection, nuclear safety, environmental protection, waste management, and environmental management). Several contractors, including UT-Battelle, LLC; Babcock & Wilcox Technical Services Y-12, LLC; URS | CH2M Oak Ridge LLC (UCOR); Wastren Advantage, Inc.; Oak Ridge Associated Universities; and Isotek Systems LLC, are responsible for carrying out the various DOE missions at the three major ORR facilities. These contractors manage and implement environmental protection programs through Environmental Management Systems (EMSs) that adhere to International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 14001: 2004, *Environmental Management Systems*, and are integrated with ISMS to provide unified strategies for managing resources. An EMS is a continuous cycle of planning, implementing, evaluating, and improving processes and actions undertaken to achieve environmental missions and goals. Routine, external (independent) audits of contractor-implemented EMSs on the reservation are typically conducted annually and, if applicable, a triennial recertification is also performed. Detailed information on contractor EMSs is provided in Chapters 3, 4, and 5.

DOE operations on ORR have the potential to release a variety of constituents into the environment via atmospheric, surface water, and groundwater pathways. Some of the constituents, such as particles from diesel engines, are common at many types of facilities, while others, such as radionuclides, are unique to specialized research and production activities like those on ORR. Any releases are highly regulated and carefully monitored. DOE is committed to enhancing environmental stewardship and managing the impacts its operations may have on the environment and encourages the public to participate in matters related to ORR's environmental impact on the community by soliciting citizens' input on matters of significant public interest and through various communications. DOE also provides public access to information on all its Oak Ridge environmental, safety, and health activities.

The *Oak Ridge Reservation Annual Site Environmental Report* (ASER) is prepared for DOE according to requirements of DOE O 231.1 B, *Environment, Safety and Health Reporting*. ASER includes data on the environmental performance of each of the major DOE ORR contractors and describes significant accomplishments in pollution prevention and sustainability programs that serve to reduce all types of waste and pollutant releases to the environment. An environmental report for ORR that provides consolidated data on overall reservation performance and status has been published annually since the mid-1970s. ASER is a key component of the DOE effort to keep the public informed about environmental conditions across DOE/National Nuclear Security Administration sites. The report is prepared for

readability, and frequent reference to other sections, chapters, and reports is made throughout the report to avoid redundancy.

2013 Impacts

DOE ORR operations in 2013 continued to result in minimal impact to the public and the environment. Permitted discharges to air and water were well below regulatory standards, and potential radiation doses to the public from activities on the reservation were significantly less than the 100 mrem standard established for DOE sites in DOE O 458.1, *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*.

The maximum radiation dose that a hypothetical off-site individual could have received from DOE activities on ORR in 2013 was estimated to be 0.4 mrem from air pathways, 2.2 mrem from water pathways (drinking water, fish consumption, swimming, recreation, and other uses), and 2.2 mrem from consumption of wildlife harvested on ORR. This is about 5% of the DOE 100 mrem standard for all pathways and is significantly less than the 300 mrem annual average dose to people in the United States from natural or background radiation. The 2013 maximum hypothetical dose is consistent with those calculated for the previous 5 years (2008–2012), which have ranged from 3 to 5 mrem.

Environmental Monitoring

Extensive environmental monitoring is conducted across ORR each year. Site-specific environmental protection programs are carried out at ORNL, the Y-12 Complex, and ETTP, and ORR-wide environmental surveillance programs, which include locations and media on and off the reservation, are conducted to enhance and supplement data from site-specific efforts. In 2013, thousands of samples and measurements of air, water, direct radiation, vegetation, fish, and wildlife collected from across the reservation were analyzed for both radioactive and nonradioactive contaminants. Sample media, locations, frequencies, and parameters were selected based on environmental regulations and standards, public and environmental exposure pathways, public concerns, and measurement capabilities. Chapters 2 through 7 of this report provide detailed summaries of the environmental protection and surveillance programs on ORR. These extensive sampling and monitoring efforts demonstrate DOE's commitment to safety; protecting human health; complying with regulations, standards, DOE orders, and "as low as reasonably achievable" principles; reducing the risks associated with past, present, and future operations; and improving cost-effectiveness.

Compliance with Environmental Regulations

Federal, state, and local government agencies, including the US Environmental Protection Agency and the State of Tennessee, monitor ORR and enforce compliance with applicable environmental regulations. These agencies issue permits, review compliance reports, participate in joint monitoring programs, inspect facilities and operations, and/or oversee compliance with regulations. Compliance with environmental regulations and DOE orders related to environmental protection provides assurance that on-site processes do not impact the public or the environment adversely.

During 2013, there were only a few instances of noncompliance with regulations, permits, and DOE orders, which were promptly addressed to ensure that no adverse environmental or public health effects resulted. Noncompliances and notifications made to regulatory agencies during the year are summarized below, and detailed information is provided in Chapters 2–5 of this report.

- A Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) inspection at the Y-12 Complex identified three alleged violations related to an open satellite waste container, an unlabeled satellite waste container, and unlabeled used oil containers. These issues, which were addressed at the time of the inspection, were of an administrative nature with no potential for environmental insult.

- A reportable fish kill caused by a potable water line break occurred at the Y-12 Complex in June 2013, and three fish kills, attributed to inadequate dechlorination of cooling water discharges, occurred at ORNL: on July 31, 2013; October 4, 2013; and October 6, 2013.
- There were no releases of hazardous substances exceeding Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act reportable quantities.

Chapter 2 provides a detailed summary of ORR environmental compliance during 2013, and Chapters 3, 4, and 5 discuss each facility's compliance status for the year.

Pollution Prevention and Site Sustainability

Numerous pollution prevention and sustainability programs across ORR embody efforts to achieve enduring sustainability in facilities, operations, and organizational culture. These programs promote energy and water conservation, building efficiency, sustainable landscaping, green transportation, sustainable acquisition, and waste minimization, which in turn reduce life-cycle costs of programs and projects and reduce risks to the environment. During 2013, ORR contractors were recognized for excellence in pollution prevention and sustainability programs with multiple awards, which are described in Chapters 3, 4, and 5.

Cleanup Operations in 2013

ORR has played key roles in US defense and energy research. However, past waste disposal practices and unintentional releases have left land and facilities contaminated. These contaminants include radioactive elements, mercury, asbestos, PCBs, and industrial wastes. The DOE Environmental Management (EM) program is responsible for cleaning up these sites, and numerous cleanup projects are under way at the reservation's three main facilities.

In 2013, one of EM's greatest accomplishments in Oak Ridge was the finalization and issuance of the "Oak Ridge Environmental Management Program Plan." This document outlines DOE EM priorities and visions for the next decade and establishes eight goals that direct and focus future operations. Another notable 2013 achievement was the significant progress and success made on American Recovery and Reinvestment Act-funded work. The \$755 million received in Oak Ridge has provided opportunities to address projects at all sites that reduce risk and environmental liability. Finally, the largest decommissioning and demolition project in the history of the DOE EM program, the K-25 building at ETPP, continued in 2013 and is expected to be completed in 2014.

